THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION Nos.16365 & 16476 of 2005

Dated: 01-08-2005

W.P.No.16365 of 2005

Between:

1 Vankudoth Thavriya, S/o. Chandru,
R/o. Gundala Village and Mandal, Khammam District.
2 Gaddela Anuradha, W/o. Upender,
R/o. Jujularaopeta, Kusumanchi Mandal,

Khammam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Station House Officer,

I Town Police Station, Khammam.

2 Sub-Divisional Police Officer,

Yellandu, Khammam District.
3 The Superintendent of Police,

Khammam.

4 Addl. Superintendent of Police

(Officer on Special Duty for

anti-naxalite operations),

Kothagudem, Khammam district.

5 State of A.P., rep. by its

Principal Secretary, Home Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

W.P.No.16476 of 2005

Between:

1 Vankudoth Thavriya, S/o. Chandru,
R/o. Gundala Village and Mandal, Khammam District.
2 Gaddela Anuradha, W/o. Upender,
R/o. Jujularaopeta, Kusumanchi Mandal,

Khammam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Station House Officer,

Yellandu Police Station, Khammam District.
2 The Superintendent of Police,

Khammam District at Khammam.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI,THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION Nos.16365 & 16476 of 2005

COMMON ORDER: **(Per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, The Acting Chief Justice)**

It is alleged in the writ petition and also in the telegram that the first petitioner's son, and the second petitioner's husband have been illegally detained by respondents.

In response to the allegations contained in the writ petition and also in the telegram, it is submitted that the alleged detenus were arrested on 26-07-2005 in Crime No.43 of 2005 and were produced before the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate, Yellandu, and they are now in judicial custody.

The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners disputes the date of arrest and mentions that they were arrested on
21-07-2005. He has liberty to take appropriate proceedings, if so advised. However, the detenus, at present, are in judicial custody. Therefore, no further orders can be passed.

Hence, the Writ Petitions are dismissed.

(Bilal Nazki, A.C.J)

1st August, 2005

(G.Chandraiah, J)

LUR